Response to Office action dated July 25, 2007

## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

The Applicant acknowledges, with thanks, the receipt of the office action dated July 25, 2007, and completion of the telephonic interview of October 11, 2007. The Examiner's suggestions and recommendations are much appreciated and summarized herein. Claims 1, 3-5, 13-16, 18-20, and 28-30 are pending.

Claims 1-5, 16-20, 31-33 and 36 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,781,714 to Collins et al. Claims 6-15, 21-30, 34-35, and 37-38 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Collins in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,586,242 to McQueen et al. In view of the amendments and the arguments set forth below, it is submitted that all claims are patentably distinct over the art of record.

The subject application is directed to a system and method for managing multiple fonts in an image generating device. A management request is received from an associated user to store, remove, or locate a font in a selected storage area of an image generating device. Upon a determination, that the request is to store a font, font data corresponding to a received management request is received, the type of font to be stored is determined, and a new font file is generated. When the font to be stored is a PostScript font, selected PostScript language code is pre-appended to the PostScript font data to create a new font file inclusive of a PostScript Language code portion and a font data portion containing received font data in its native form. When the font to be stored is a PCL font, selected PJL software commands are pre-appended to the PCL font data to create a new font file inclusive of a PJL code portion and a font data portion containing received font data in its native form. when the font to be stored is other than a PostScript font or PCL font, the font is converted to a PCL font and selected PJL software commands are pre-appended to the converted PCL font data to create a new font file inclusive of a portion comprised of the selected PJL software commands and a font data portion containing received font data in its native form. The generated new font file is then stored in an associated data storage. An electronic document is received as well as a document imaging request associated therewith. Font specification font specification data associated with the received electronic document is isolated and tested in accordance with font file data stored in the associated data storage. The font data file is retrieved from the associated storage in accordance

Application No.: 10/674,738

Amendment dated October 16, 2007

Response to Office action dated July 25, 2007

with the testing and the electronic documents are rendered in conjunction with a retrieved font data file.

Collins is directed to a portable font system wherein a computer is enabled to receive font information to aid in rendering text. Conversely, the subject application teaches an embodiment wherein a font file is appended with additional information so as to allow use of original font information in various environments. This is accomplished by pre-appending PJL commands that allow for rendering with that font. Thus, integrity of the original font file is maintained while still allowing for rendering of documents in formats such as PCL, PJL or TIFF. In each instance, the same core font is therefore applicable to various file types. No download or installation need be made for fonts in order to accommodate various file types.

The novel features of the subject application have been incorporated into each of independent claims 1, 13, 16 and 28. Font files are pre-appended with PJL data which corresponds to a particular format. Thus, adaptability is realized while maintaining original font integrity. By virtue of these amendments, and for the reasons discussed in the interview, it is submitted that all claims are patentably distinct over the art of record and in condition for allowance thereover. An early allowance of all claims is respectfully requested.

If there are any fees necessitated by the foregoing communication, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge such fees to our Deposit Account No. 50-0902, referencing our Docket No. 66329/31274.

Date: 10/16/07

Respectfully submitted,

Susan L. Mizer

Registration No. 38,245

TUCKER ELLIS & WEST LLP

1150 Huntington Bldg.

925 Euclid Ave.

Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1414

Customer No.: 23380

Tel.:

(216) 696-3466

Fax:

(216) 592-5009

Page 11 of 11